1	
2	
3	
4	Title:
5	APC/C Ubiquitin Ligase: Coupling Cellular Differentiation to G1/G0 Phase
6	in Multicellular Systems
7	
8	
9	
10	Author: Yuu Kimata1,2
11	Affiliation: School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China;
12	University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Correspondence: ykimata@shanghaitech.edu.cn
25	Key words: APC/C, development, differentiation, cell cycle, G1/G0, ubiquitination

1 Abstract

The anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) is an evolutionarily conserved 2 3 ubiquitin ligase that controls cell cycle progression through spatiotemporally regulated proteolysis. Although recent studies revealed its postmitotic function, our knowledge of the 4 role of APC/C beyond cell cycle regulation in the biology of multicellular organisms is far 5 from complete. Here I review recent advances in the function of APC/C in animal 6 7 development, specifically focusing on its emerging role in regulating cell differentiation. I 8 describe how APC/C regulates distinct processes during the course of differentiation by deploying diverse molecular machineries in a wide variety of developmental contexts. Also, I 9 discuss the significance and clinical relevance of the unique capacity of APC/C and other cell 10 cycle regulators to couple distinct cellular processes with cell proliferation control. 11

2 Introduction

The cell cycle is a series of events through which a cell divides into two cells (Figure 1A). In 3 multicellular organisms, proper cell cycle regulation is critical not only for growth but also 4 5 for development and homeostasis. The last few decades of research, which largely relied 6 upon unicellular organisms and in vitro cell culture, have unveiled conserved molecular 7 machineries that control major processes of the cell cycle¹. However, many questions on cell cycle regulation in vivo in metazoan organisms remain unsolved, including how cell cycle 8 regulators are controlled at the tissue, organ and organism levels, and how the cell cycle is 9 orchestrated with other cellular events that are important for development. 10

11 Cell cycle progression is ultimately dictated by a set of conserved proteins commonly called 12 'cell cycle regulators'. The most central among these are **cyclin-dependent kinases** (CDKs, 13 see Glossary), which regulate the cell cycle through protein phosphorylation². In addition, 14 **Cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases** (CRLs) regulate protein abundance in a cell through the 15 **ubiquitin-proteasome pathway** (UPP). Through the irreversible process of proteolysis, CRLs 16 ensure the unidirectionality of cell cycle progresssion³.

APC/C is a major CRL involved in cell cycle regulation, characterised by its exceptionally large 17 size and intricate architecture composed of 16 distinct proteins⁴. Because of its homology 18 across species, APC/C was assumed to be specialised in cell cycle regulation in multicellular 19 organisms. However, subsequent studies using metazoan models revealed its unanticipated 20 postmitotic roles beyond cell cycle regulation. The first hint of involvement in postmitotic 21 22 processes was high expression of the APC/C co-activator CDH1 (also known as Fizzy-related or Fzr1) in mammalian neurons⁵. Subsequent analyses established the critical role of APC/C 23 in the terminal differentiation of neurons and ultimately in the function of the nervous 24 system^{6–8}. Despite this discovery, whether APC/C is also involved in earlier stages of cell 25 differentiation or if it operates in a wider variety of cell types remains unclear. In this review, 26 I outline novel postmitotic functions of APC/C in cell differentiation in various 27 developmental contexts and highlight multiple context-dependent cellular mechanisms 28 29 whereby APC/C directly impacts cell differentiation and cell type-specific cell behaviours.

1 Regulating G1/G0 length

2 APC/C regulates multiple events during the cell cycle, acting as both an engine and a brake^{4,9}. Two structurally homologous accessory subunits, CDC20 (also called Fizzy) and CDH1, 3 commonly referred to as 'APC/C coactivators', mediate substrate recognition and stimulate 4 the ubiquitin ligase activity of APC/C^{10,11}. CDC20 binds and activates APC/C in M phase to 5 trigger chromatid separation and mitotic exit through degradation of Securin and mitotic 6 7 cyclins, respectively. After CDK1 inactivation, CDH1 gets dephosphorylated and binds APC/C to degrade CDC20. APC/C^{CDH1} retains cells in the following G1 phase or allows them to enter 8 GO (Figure 1), making it a key regulator of G1/G0 progression⁹. In yeast and human, CDH1 9 inactivation shortens G1 phase and thus premature entry into S phase, which causes DNA 10 damage^{12,13}. CDH1 is also required for cells to enter G0 when they are deprived of nutrients 11 or mitogens or exposed to anti-mitotic signals^{13,14} (Figure 1). 12

Studies in multicellular models also underpin the critical role of APC/C^{CDH1} in G1/G0 13 progression in vivo. In Drosophila, CDH1 is required for G1/G0 arrest of embryonic 14 epidermal cells and photoreceptor progenitor cells before differentiation^{15,16}. In mice, 15 conditional knockout of the APC/C subunit, APC2, causes spontaneous proliferation of 16 quiescent hepatocytes, resulting in acute liver failure¹⁷. However, interestingly, in these 17 animals, many cells remain quiescent or stop dividing after only a few subsequent divisions, 18 indicating that redundant or cooperative mechanisms are present to ensure robust control 19 of proliferation in vivo. Human CDH1 has been shown to bind Retinoblastoma protein (pRb), 20 another important G1/G0 regulator and tumour suppressor¹⁸, suggesting possible 21 cooperation between APC/C^{CDH1} and pRb in G1/G0 regulation *in vivo*⁹. 22

Notably, a recent single-cell study in human cell culture identified an additional role for 23 APC/C^{CDH1} in the exit from GO¹⁹; after the **Restriction point** (R-point)²⁰, cells are able to 24 return to G0 phase upon exposure to various cellular stress, such as DNA damage¹⁹. In 25 contrast, the re-entry to G0 phase is not observed when APC/C^{CDH1} is irreversibly inactivated 26 by its inhibitor Emi1 subsequent to the R-point¹⁹. Thus, the full inactivation of APC/C^{CDH1} 27 defines the 'point of no return' before S phase, pointing to a role for APC/C^{CDH1} as the final 28 gatekeeper for mammalian cells to exit from GO phase. However, the significance of this 29 new 'time point' in the cell cycle with respect to the R-point, and how they control cell 30 proliferation and tissue growth across cell types, remains to be addressed. 31

As differentiation progresses, cells generally slow down the cell cycle, typically by 1 lengthening G1 phase. Indeed, in the developing mammalian cerebral cortex, the G1 phase 2 of neural progenitors is progressively elongated upon differentiation into intermediate 3 progenitors and then further as they continue differentiation to neurons²¹. Further studies 4 5 suggest a role for APC/C in regulating this process. In the mouse brain, shortening G1 by over-expressing the G1-specific CDK, CDK4-Cyclin D, inhibits differentiation of the 6 progenitors, whereas elongating G1 by CDK4 depletion promotes their differentiation²². This 7 8 finding has led to 'the cell cycle length hypothesis' for mammalian neurogenesis, in which a longer G1 phase provides sufficient time for cell fate determinants to induce neural 9 differentiation in neural progenitors²¹. 10

In addition to CDK4-Cyclin D, APC/C^{CDH1} also appears to be responsible for G1 progression in 11 mouse neural progenitors as neural progenitor-specific CDH1 knockout cells prevent G1 12 elongation in the progenitor pool and inhibits the generation of mature neurons in 13 developing mouse brains. This inhibition results in severe reduction of the overall brain size, 14 reminiscent of **microcephaly**^{23,24}. Similarly, in an *in vitro* neurogenesis model with primary 15 cortical culture, CDH1 knockout inhibits both G1 elongation and the expression of neural 16 17 markers in neuronal progenitors, whereas expression of the non-phosphorylatable form of CDH1 (refractory to CDK1-dependent inhibitory phosphorylation) induces G1 elongation and 18 accelerates neuronal differentiation²³. These data support a model that APC/C^{CDH1} induces 19 the differentiation of neural progenitors by extending G1 phase. However, CDH1 knockout 20 also causes the accumulation of DNA damage in progenitors because of premature entry 21 into S phase. This leads to p53-mediated apoptosis of progenitor cells, which results in a 22 reduction in the number of neurons^{23,24}. Thus, the role of APC/C^{CDH1} in the differentiation of 23 neural progenitors is still unclear. 24

However, despite both APC/C^{CDH1} and CDK4-Cyclin D affecting G1 length and differentiation of neural progenitor differentiation, they appear to regulate the process differently. A detailed cell cycle profiling in the mouse neural progenitors showed that the self-renewing population of the progenitors experience a longer S phase than the differentiating population²⁵, suggesting that S phase duration may also influence neural differentiation, which might be abrogated by CDH1 depletion, but not by CDK4-Cyclin D. Notably, previous studies in *Drosophila* retinogenesis showed that the loss of G1 arrest does not inhibit the

differentiation of retinal progenitor cells into photoreceptor neurons, indicating that G1 1 elongation is not a universal requirement for neural differentiation^{15,26}. CDK4-Cyclin D has 2 been reported to have various cell cycle-independent functions²⁷. Thus, it is possible that 3 4 CDK4-Cyclin D may induce neural differentiation independent of the cell cycle, which may 5 explain the different effects of CDK4-Cyclin D expression and CDH1 depletion. As discussed later, APC/C also impacts cell differentiation through cell cycle-independent mechanisms. In 6 the case of mouse neural progenitors, APC/C^{CDH1} targets several proteins that are highly 7 expressed in the progenitors, such as KLF4, MCPH1, Radmis and CK1 δ^{28-31} (**Table 1**). Defining 8 the roles of their degradation may clarify the role of APC/C^{CDH1} in neural differentiation. 9

10

11 Modulating cellular responses to developmental signalling

Transcriptional regulation of gene expression is central to cell fate specification, cellular 12 differentiation, and tissue-specific functions. APC/C influences cellular differentiation by 13 directly targeting various cell type-specific transcription factors (TFs) and their regulators for 14 degradation ^{32,33}(Table 1). For example, in an *in vitro* myogenesis system using mouse C2 15 cells, APC/C^{CDH1}-dependent degradation of a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) type TF, Myf5, 16 triggers myogenic fusion during differentiation into multinucleated muscle fibres³⁴. In 17 mammalian neurons, APC/C targets the neurogenic bHLH protein, NeuroD2, as well as 18 inhibitors of bHLH TFs, Inhibitor of DNA binding protein 1 and 2 (Id1 and Id2), to regulate 19 neuronal morphology and activity^{35–37}. 20

Other major mechanisms that cooperate with TFs in gene regulation are extracellular 21 signalling pathways. By responding to external signals, these pathways transduce 22 intercellular and intracellular signalling cascades, which typically culminate in transcriptional 23 changes within signal-receiving cells. The first evidence for crosstalk between APC/C and 24 signalling pathways was the identification of the SnoN transcriptional repressor as an APC/C 25 substrate^{38,39}. SnoN inhibits the ability of receptor-regulated Smad proteins to activate 26 transcription in response to TGF- β family ligands⁴⁰. CDH1 directly binds SnoN and Smad3 to 27 induce APC/C-dependent degradation of SnoN, thereby promoting TGF- β -induced 28 transcription in human cells^{38,39} (Figure 2A). Subsequent studies in vertebrate and 29 invertebrate models also support the role of APC/C^{CDH1} in SnoN degradation *in vivo*^{32,33,41,42}. 30

1 However, the cellular levels of SnoN are regulated by multiple transcriptional and 2 posttranscriptional mechanisms, in addition to APC/C-mediated proteolysis⁴³. Thus, to what 3 extent APC/C modulates TGF- β signalling pathways remains unclear.

4 Along with TGF- β signalling, the Wnt signalling pathway is another highly conserved signalling pathway that plays a central role in the regulation of the development and 5 physiology of metazoans. Recently, two genetic screens in Drosophila have identified a 6 novel function of APC/C in regulating Wnt signalling^{44,45} (Figure 2B). In the developing 7 Drosophila eye primordium (the eye imaginal disc), Wnt signalling inhibits the specification 8 of undifferentiated progenitors into retinal fate including photoreceptor neurons^{46,47}. Partial 9 APC/C inactivation in the progenitors allows their proliferation but blocks their 10 differentiation into photoreceptor neurons, resulting in a severe reduction of adult retinas 11 12 or the formation of antenna-like tissues in the adult eye, indicative of a failure in cell fate determination⁴⁴. These defects are accompanied by abnormal activation of Wnt-dependent 13 transcription in the progenitors, suggesting that APC/C normally suppresses Wnt signalling 14 responses in these progenitors to allow their specification into retinal fate (Figure 2B). 15 Furthermore, APC/C^{CDH1} inactivation late in development in the postmitotic eye and wing 16 epithelia causes mis-orientation of ommatidia and wing hairs in adult flies. As APC/C^{CDH1} 17 inactivation misregulates the non-canonical Wnt/PCP pathway⁴⁵, planar cell polarity (PCP) is 18 19 not established in the epithelia, resulting in the above phenotype. These results suggest that APC/C modulates both canonical and non-canonical Wnt pathways to promote cell 20 differentiation and tissue development (Figure 2B). 21

Intriguingly, this regulation of the Wnt pathways is mediated by the degradation of a 22 conserved kinase, NIMA-related kinase 2 (Nek2)^{44,45}, which is an established regulator of the 23 centrosome and is also known to regulate various cell cycle processes⁴⁸. In *Drosophila*, Nek2 24 was recently identified as a positive modulator of the canonical Wnt pathway, which can 25 bind and phosphorylate Dishevelled (Dsh), a component shared between the canonical Wnt 26 and Wnt/PCP pathways⁴⁹. In eye imaginal discs, prior to the specification of photoreceptors, 27 all retinal progenitor cells become synchronously arrested in G1 phase, which requires 28 APC/C^{CDH1} activity⁵⁰. Nek2 is degraded in the cytoplasm in G1 phase through APC/C^{CDH1}-29 mediated proteolysis, resulting in reduced cellular responsiveness to Wnt signalling in the 30 G1-arrested cell population⁴⁴. Based on these results, it has been proposed that APC/C^{CDH1} 31

promotes the differentiation of retinal progenitors by inducing G1 arrest and simultaneously
 suppressing cell responsiveness to Wnt signalling (Figure 2B).

Importantly, the APC/C-dependent regulation of Wnt signalling is likely conserved in 3 vertebrates. The catalytic domain of Nek2 is highly conserved⁵¹. Human Nek2 is also an 4 APC/C substrate⁵² and phosphorylates the human Dsh orthologue⁵³. Furthermore, in 5 Xenopus embryos, morpholino-mediated depletion of APC/C subunits inhibits axis 6 elongation and neural tube closure and also randomises epidermal ciliary polarity, 7 phenotypes which are commonly observed upon aberrant Wnt signalling activation⁵⁴. 8 9 Whether these Wnt-related phenotypes in *Xenopus* are mediated by Nek2 needs to be tested. It has been shown that Wnt signalling is regulated during the cell cycle in human 10 cells; the kinase activity of GSK3, a canonical Wnt pathway component downstream of Dsh, 11 is low in G1 and peaks in M phase, which reversely correlates with APC/C activity⁵⁵. During 12 mitosis, Wnt signalling promotes various mitotic processes such as the assembly and 13 orientation of the mitotic spindle and chromosome segregation, through post-14 transcriptional regulation^{56,57}. Notably, three Nek2 isoforms are expressed in human cells 15 and are differentially targeted by APC/C^{CDC20} or APC/C^{CDH1} during the cell cycle^{48,58}, 16 17 suggesting that APC/C may differentially regulate multiple Wnt signalling cascades during the cell cycle through different Nek2 isoforms. 18

19

20 Regulating the centrosome

21 Centrosomes and the cilia are interrelated organelles that share centrioles as core structural 22 components, and through microtubule organisation they regulate a wide range of cellular 23 processes that are crucial for the development of multicellular organisms, including cell 24 division, signal transduction and cell motility⁵⁹ (**Figure 3A**). Accordingly, dysfunction of these 25 organelles is tightly associated with various human diseases such as cancer, microcephaly 26 and ciliopathy⁶⁰.

In most cells, the copy number of centrioles is strictly controlled (one or two copies) so that centrioles are duplicated only once in a cell cycle by using pre-existing centrioles as templates, analogous to DNA replication. Additionally, centrioles can be converted to a basal body in G1/G0 phase to form the primary cilium. APC/C has emerged as a key

regulator that coordinates duplication and conversion processes of the centriole-based 1 organelles with cell cycle progression⁶⁰ (Figure 3A, Table 1). During the cell cycle, APC/C 2 3 targets many structural components and important regulators of the centrosome, such as 4 Sas6, Aurora A and Polo-like kinase 1. The accumulation of any one of these components or 5 regulators results in centrosome overamplification or abnormal microtubule nucleation, highlighting the importance of APC/C-mediated regulation^{58,61,62}. Additionally, APC/C^{CDC20} 6 degrades another NEK family member, Nek1, to disassemble primary cilia upon cell cycle 7 reentry⁶³. 8

9 The tight coupling between the structure and function of the centrosome and the cell cycle is likely to be critical for the normal development of metazoan organisms, including humans. 10 Indeed, there is a link between defects in APC/C-dependent destruction of a centrosome 11 component and a pathological developmental disorder. The gene encoding the centriole 12 component STIL has been identified as a causal gene of autosomal recessive primary 13 microcephaly (MCPH)⁶⁴. Some known MCPH mutations in STIL, while maintaining its ability 14 to assemble functional centrosomes, block its APC/C^{CDH1}-dependent degradation and cause 15 its stabilisation, thereby enhancing its ability to induce centrosome amplification in human 16 cells⁶⁵. It has been shown that supernumerary centrosomes cause microcephaly in mice⁶⁶. 17 Moreover, centrosomes conversely regulate the function of APC/C by regulating its activity 18 as a subcellular hub^{67,68}. In Drosophila neuroblasts, centrosomal localisation of CDH1 19 promotes APC/C-dependent degradation of Aurora A at the centrosome, which is required 20 to maintain the neuroblast number in the developing adult brain⁶⁹. In human neurons, the 21 centrosome-associated pool of CDC20 is required for APC/C to regulate dendrite 22 morphology³⁶. 23

Centrosomes change their organisation and functions to promote cell differentiation and 24 adopt tissue-specific functions during development. Recent studies illustrate how the APC/C 25 impacts cell differentiation through its regulation of the centrosome in different 26 27 developmental settings (Figure 3B). During the early development of the Drosophila tracheal system (the Drosophila respiratory system), post-mitotic terminal cells (TC cells) 28 undergo unique unicellular branching, which is initiated with subcellular lumen formation at 29 the apical cell membrane. It was found that during this process, centrosomes localise near 30 the apical membrane in TC cells and form a polarised microtubule network that is necessary 31

for lumen formation⁷⁰. Abnormal APC/C^{CDH1} activation by mutations in Rca1 (*Drosophila*Emi1) induces centrosome amplification in TC cells and causes lumen bifurcation, leading to
excessive TC cell branching⁷⁰. Thus, APC/C^{CDH1} regulates the unicellular morphogenesis of TC
cells by restricting centrosome amplification.

Another study revealed a critical role of APC/C in an unconventional mode of centriole 5 biogenesis during mammalian brain development. During the terminal differentiation of 6 7 mouse ependymal cells, a few hundred centrioles are generated to form motile cilia, which are subsequently required for the generation of the brain fluid stream. In this unique 8 process, many centrioles are formed de novo at the deuterostome, the electron-dense 9 subcellular structure unique to multiciliated cells^{71,72}. Pharmacological inhibition of APC/C 10 impedes the multiciliation process by inhibiting the detachment of new-born centrioles 11 from the deuterostome and their migration to the apical membrane⁷³. Additionally, APC/C 12 inhibition also drives the postmitotic ependymal cells into mitosis⁷³, suggesting that APC/C 13 regulates the unique centriole amplification process while keeping the ependymal cellcells 14 quiescent. Unlike most postmitotic cells, ependymal cells express the mitotic APC/C 15 coactivator CDC20⁷³. Although it is not clear whether CDH1 is co-expressed in epidermal 16 cells, how APC/C^{CDC20} and APC/C^{CDH1} are differentially regulated to enable uncoupling of the 17 centriole amplification cycle from the cell cycle is an area for further research. 18

19

20 Concluding remarks

Initial studies on APC/C in multicellular organisms revealed unanticipated postmitotic roles 21 of APC/C in a subset of cell types, in particular, neurons^{6–8}. Building on this discovery, recent 22 studies have established the critical role of APC/C in regulating cell differentiation and cell 23 type-specific functions in a wide variety of cell types by deploying diverse molecular 24 mechanisms (Figure 4, Key Figure). Through these studies, several major advances in our 25 understanding of the functions of APC/C, and cell cycle machineries, have been achieved. 26 First, the role of APC/C is not limited to terminal differentiation or specialised functions of a 27 small number of cell types. Instead, APC/C is involved in a wide range of differentiation 28 processes in a large variety of cell types, including progenitors and stem cells. Second, 29 APC/C deploys highly diverse mechanisms to influence cellular differentiation. APC/C targets 30 not only cell type-specific transcriptional regulators but also components of more general 31

cellular machineries, such as signalling pathways and centriole-based organelles, which 1 participate in a wide spectrum of developmental processes. This suggests that the function 2 3 of APC/C goes beyond a single cell and can extend to intercellular, tissue-level processes, 4 including cell-cell communication, tissue polarity and morphogenesis. Finally, with APC/C 5 being a ubiquitin ligase, recent findings underscore the importance of ubiquitination in the regulation of cell differentiation. In line with this, growing numbers of UPP components 6 have been identified as regulators of fate determination in various stem cells⁷⁴. The UPP 7 8 may play a crucial role in differentiation by enabling a rapid change in the cellular proteome through proteolysis, or by expanding a repertoire of protein modifications for signal 9 transduction⁷⁵. 10

Many questions remain to be addressed as to the role of APC/C, thus cell cycle regulators, in 11 metazoan development (see Outstanding Questions). An immediate question is how the 12 multiple functions of APC/C are regulated *in vivo* by upstream developmental mechanisms. 13 Several signalling pathways, including Notch and TGF- β , are suggested to transcriptionally or 14 post-translationally regulate the APC/ $C^{38,39,44,76,77}$. Additionally, spatial changes in subcellular 15 localisation may further regulate APC/C activity inside each cell^{36,69}. A more fundamental 16 question is that of the biological significance of the multitude of functions APC/C has as a 17 single macromolecule. A common theme emerging from recent evidence is that APC/C 18 promotes cell differentiation by employing various cellular machineries to regulate context-19 dependent differentiation processes while inducing or maintaining G1/G0 arrest in the same 20 cell (Figure 4). It is tempting to speculate that there is an intrinsic importance and/or an 21 evolutionary advantage to tightly couple these differentiation processes to the G1 or G0 22 state. For instance, such coupling may allow a sub-fraction of proliferating cells to respond 23 differentially to the same signal depending on their cell cycle phase. Alternatively, the 24 coupling may contribute to tumour suppression by making quiescent or differentiated cells 25 resistant to mitogenic signals. Lastly, do cell cycle regulators have cell cycle-independent 26 functions that are linked to cancer and other human diseases? The APC/C subunit, CDC27, 27 was identified as a cancer driver gene in multiple human cancers^{78,79}. CDH1 heterozygous 28 mice are prone to sporadic tumours⁸⁰. So far, the role of these genetic alterations in cancer 29 development has been considered solely from the perspectives of cell-cycle functions of 30

APC/C. However, it is conceivable that cell cycle-independent functions of APC/C may
 contribute to the disease mechanism.

3 To address these questions, it is crucial to continue exploring the function of APC/C and other cell cycle regulators in a wider variety of developmental contexts. In the past, the 4 biggest challenge in studying the function of cell cycle regulators in vivo was their core 5 6 functions, being essential for cell growth and viability. However, the recent advent of powerful genome editing methods, single-cell analysis technologies, and potent inhibitors 7 8 that are highly specific to each cell cycle regulator protein enables precise manipulation and dissection of the functions of cell cycle regulators in vivo. We can therefore confidently 9 10 envisage rapid advances in the characterisation of the functions of cell cycle regulators in the coming years. 11

12

13 Acknowledgement

YK thanks Christopher Antos, Alexis Braun, James Duboff, William Harris and Hiroyuki Yamano for critical reading, and Dr Jingyan Fu and Nan Pang for the EM image of a centriole. This work is supported by the start-up funding from ShanghaiTech University (2018F0202-000-06) and Cancer Research UK (CRUK-A12874) to YK. YK apologises to colleagues whose studies could not be cited due to space limitation.

2 References

- Nurse, P. A long twentieth century of the cell cycle and beyond. *Cell* **100**, 71–8
 (2000).
- Fisher, D., Krasinska, L., Coudreuse, D. & Novak, B. Phosphorylation network
 dynamics in the control of cell cycle transitions. *J. Cell Sci.* 125, 4703–4711
 (2012).
- 8 3. Craney, A. & Rape, M. Dynamic regulation of ubiquitin-dependent cell cycle
 9 control. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25, 704–710 (2013).
- 4. Chang, L. & Barford, D. Insights into the anaphase-promoting complex: a
 molecular machine that regulates mitosis. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 29, 1–9
 (2014).
- Gieffers, C., Peters, B. H., Kramer, E. R., Dotti, C. G. & Peters, J. M.
 Expression of the CDH1-associated form of the anaphase-promoting complex
 in postmitotic neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 11317–22 (1999).
- 6. Hu, D., Qiao, X., Wu, G. & Wan, Y. The emerging role of APC/CCdh1 in
 development. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 22, 579–85 (2011).
- Eguren, M., Manchado, E. & Malumbres, M. Non-mitotic functions of the
 Anaphase-Promoting Complex. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* 20 22, 572–578 (2011).
- Puram, S. V. & Bonni, A. Novel functions for the anaphase-promoting complex
 in neurobiology. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 22, 586–594 (2011).
- Kernan, J., Bonacci, T. & Emanuele, M. J. Who guards the guardian?
 Mechanisms that restrain APC/C during the cell cycle. *Biochim. Biophys. acta. Mol. cell Res.* 1865, 1924–1933 (2018).
- Kimata, Y., Baxter, J. E., Fry, A. M. & Yamano, H. A Role for the Fizzy/Cdc20
 Family of Proteins in Activation of the APC/C Distinct from Substrate
 Recruitment. *Mol. Cell* 32, (2008).
- Alfieri, C., Zhang, S. & Barford, D. Visualizing the complex functions and
 mechanisms of the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C). *Open*

1 Biol. 7, 170204 (2017).

- Sigl, R. *et al.* Loss of the mammalian APC/C activator FZR1 shortens G1 and
 lengthens S phase but has little effect on exit from mitosis. *J. Cell Sci.* 122,
 4208–17 (2009).
- Sudo, T. *et al.* Activation of Cdh1-dependent APC is required for G1 cell cycle
 arrest and DNA damage-induced G2 checkpoint in vertebrate cells. *EMBO J.*20, 6499–6508 (2001).
- Kitamura, K., Maekawa, H. & Shimoda, C. Fission yeast Ste9, a homolog of
 Hct1/Cdh1 and Fizzy-related, is a novel negative regulator of cell cycle
 progression during G1-phase. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 9, 1065–80 (1998).
- Pimentel, A. C. & Venkatesh, T. R. rap gene encodes Fizzy-related protein
 (Fzr) and regulates cell proliferation and pattern formation in the developing
 Drosophila eye-antennal disc. *Dev. Biol.* 285, 436–446 (2005).
- Sigrist, S. J. & Lehner, C. F. Drosophila fizzy-related down-regulates mitotic
 cyclins and is required for cell proliferation arrest and entry into endocycles.
 Cell 90, 671–81 (1997).
- Wirth, K. G. *et al.* Loss of the anaphase-promoting complex in quiescent cells
 causes unscheduled hepatocyte proliferation. *Genes Dev.* 18, 88–98 (2004).

Binné, U. K. *et al.* Retinoblastoma protein and anaphase-promoting complex
 physically interact and functionally cooperate during cell-cycle exit. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 9, 225–232 (2007).

- 19. Cappell, S. D., Chung, M., Jaimovich, A., Spencer, S. L. & Meyer, T.
 Irreversible APC Cdh1 Inactivation Underlies the Point of No Return for CellCycle Entry. *Cell* 166, 167–180 (2016).
- Academy, N., Academy, N. & States, U. Kinetic Analysis of Regulatory Events
 in G1 Leading to Proliferation or Quiescence of Swiss 3T3 Cells Author (s): A.
 Zetterberg and Olle Larsson Source : Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences of the United States of America , Published by : N. 82, 5365–5369
 (2016).
- Salomoni, P. & Calegari, F. Cell cycle control of mammalian neural stem cells:
 putting a speed limit on G1. *Trends Cell Biol.* 20, 233–243 (2010).

- Lange, C., Huttner, W. B. & Calegari, F. Cdk4/CyclinD1 Overexpression in
 Neural Stem Cells Shortens G1, Delays Neurogenesis, and Promotes the
 Generation and Expansion of Basal Progenitors. *Cell Stem Cell* 5, 320–331
 (2009).
- 5 23. Delgado-Esteban, M., García-Higuera, I., Maestre, C., Moreno, S. & Almeida,
 6 A. APC/C-Cdh1 coordinates neurogenesis and cortical size during
 7 development. *Nat. Commun.* 4, (2013).
- Eguren, M. *et al.* The APC/C cofactor Cdh1 prevents replicative stress and
 p53-dependent cell death in neural progenitors. *Nat. Commun.* 4, (2013).
- 10 25. Arai, Y. *et al.* Neural stem and progenitor cells shorten S-phase on 11 commitment to neuron production. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 154 (2011).
- Thomas, B. J., Gunning, D. A., Cho, J. & Zipursky, L. Cell cycle progression in
 the developing Drosophila eye: roughex encodes a novel protein required for
 the establishment of G1. *Cell* **77**, 1003–14 (1994).
- 15 27. Hydbring, P., Malumbres, M. & Sicinski, P. Non-canonical functions of cell
 16 cycle cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 280–
 17 92 (2016).

18 28. Hu, D. & Wan, Y. Regulation of Krüppel-like Factor 4 by the Anaphase
Promoting Complex Pathway Is Involved in TGF-β Signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.*20 286, 6890–6901 (2011).

- 21 29. Liu, X. *et al.* The E3 ubiquitin ligase APC/C ^{C dh1} degrades MCPH1 after
 MCPH1-βTrCP2-Cdc25A-mediated mitotic entry to ensure neurogenesis.
 EMBO J. 36, 3666–3681 (2017).
- 30. Yumoto, T. *et al.* Radmis, a novel mitotic spindle protein that functions in cell
 division of neural progenitors. *PLoS One* **8**, e79895 (2013).
- 26 31. Penas, C. *et al.* Casein Kinase 1δ Is an APC/CCdh1 Substrate that Regulates
 27 Cerebellar Granule Cell Neurogenesis. *Cell Rep.* **11**, 249–260 (2015).
- 32. Wu, G. *et al.* The anaphase-promoting complex coordinates initiation of lens
 differentiation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 18, 1018–29 (2007).
- 30 33. Stegmüller, J. et al. Cell-Intrinsic Regulation of Axonal Morphogenesis by the

- 1 Cdh1-APC Target SnoN. *Neuron* **50**, 389–400 (2006).
- 2 34. Li, W., Wu, G. & Wan, Y. The dual effects of Cdh1/APC in myogenesis.
 FASEB J. **21**, 3606–3617 (2007).
- 4 35. Yang, Y. *et al.* A Cdc20-APC Ubiquitin Signaling Pathway Regulates
 5 Presynaptic Differentiation. *Science (80-.).* **326,** 575–578 (2009).
- 6 36. Kim, A. H. *et al.* A Centrosomal Cdc20-APC Pathway Controls Dendrite
 7 Morphogenesis in Postmitotic Neurons. *Cell* **136**, 322–336 (2009).
- 8 37. Lasorella, A. *et al.* Degradation of Id2 by the anaphase-promoting complex
 9 couples cell cycle exit and axonal growth. *Nature* 442, 471–474 (2006).
- 38. Stroschein, S. L., Bonni, S., Wrana, J. L. & Luo, K. Smad3 recruits the
 anaphase-promoting complex for ubiquitination and degradation of SnoN.
 Genes Dev. 15, 2822–36 (2001).
- 39. Wan, Y., Liu, X. & Kirschner, M. W. The anaphase-promoting complex
 mediates TGF-beta signaling by targeting SnoN for destruction. *Mol. Cell* 8,
 1027–39 (2001).
- 40. Zhu, Q. & Luo, K. SnoN in regulation of embryonic development and tissue
 morphogenesis. *FEBS Lett.* 586, 1971–6 (2012).
- 18 41. Neuert, H., Yuva-Aydemir, Y., Silies, M. & Klämbt, C. Different modes of
 APC/C activation control growth and neuron-glia interaction in the developing
 Drosophila eye. *Development* 144, 4673–4683 (2017).
- 42. Djabrayan, N. J.-V. & Casanova, J. Snoo and Dpp Act as Spatial and
 Temporal Regulators Respectively of Adult Progenitor Cells in the Drosophila
 Trachea. *PLoS Genet.* **12**, e1005909 (2016).
- 24 43. Zhu, Q. *et al.* SnoN Antagonizes the Hippo Kinase Complex to Promote TAZ
 25 Signaling during Breast Carcinogenesis. *Dev. Cell* **37**, 399–412 (2016).
- 44. Martins, T., Meghini, F., Florio, F. & Kimata, Y. The APC/C Coordinates
 Retinal Differentiation with G1 Arrest through the Nek2-Dependent Modulation
 of Wingless Signaling. *Dev. Cell* 40, 67–80 (2017).
- 45. Weber, U. & Mlodzik, M. APC/C Fzr/Cdh1 -Dependent Regulation of Planar
 Cell Polarity Establishment via Nek2 Kinase Acting on Dishevelled. *Dev. Cell*

1 **40**, 53–66 (2017).

- 46. Ma, C. & Moses, K. Wingless and patched are negative regulators of the
 morphogenetic furrow and can affect tissue polarity in the developing
 Drosophila compound eye. *Development* **121**, 2279–89 (1995).
- 5 47. Treisman, J. E. & Rubin, G. M. wingless inhibits morphogenetic furrow 6 movement in the Drosophila eye disc. *Development* **121**, 3519–27 (1995).
- Fry, A. M., O'Regan, L., Sabir, S. R. & Bayliss, R. Cell cycle regulation by the
 NEK family of protein kinases. *J. Cell Sci.* 125, 4423–4433 (2012).
- 9 49. Schertel, C. *et al.* Systematic Screening of a Drosophila ORF Library In Vivo
 10 Uncovers Wnt/Wg Pathway Components. *Dev. Cell* 25, 207–219 (2013).
- 50. Pimentel, A. C. & Venkatesh, T. R. rap gene encodes Fizzy-related protein
 (Fzr) and regulates cell proliferation and pattern formation in the developing
 Drosophila eye-antennal disc. *Dev. Biol.* 285, 436–446 (2005).
- PRIGENT, C., Glover, D. M. & Giet, R. Drosophila Nek2 protein kinase
 knockdown leads to centrosome maturation defects while overexpression
 causes centrosome fragmentation and cytokinesis failure. *Exp. Cell Res.* 303,
 1–13 (2004).
- 18 52. Hayes, M. J. *et al.* Early mitotic degradation of Nek2A depends on Cdc20independent interaction with the APC/C. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, (2006).
- 53. Cervenka, I. *et al.* Dishevelled is a NEK2 kinase substrate controlling dynamics
 of centrosomal linker proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 9304–9
 (2016).
- 54. Ganner, A. *et al.* Regulation of ciliary polarity by the APC/C. *Proc. Natl. Acad.* Sci. U. S. A. **106**, 17799–804 (2009).
- S5. Acebron, S. P., Karaulanov, E., Berger, B. S., Huang, Y.-L. & Niehrs, C. Mitotic
 Wnt Signaling Promotes Protein Stabilization and Regulates Cell Size. *Mol. Cell* 54, 663–674 (2014).
- 56. Niehrs, C. & Acebron, S. P. Mitotic and mitogenic Wnt signalling. *EMBO J.* 31,
 2705–2713 (2012).
- 30 57. Stolz, A., Neufeld, K., Ertych, N. & Bastians, H. Wnt-mediated protein

stabilization ensures proper mitotic microtubule assembly and chromosome
 segregation. *EMBO Rep.* 16, 490–499 (2015).

- 58. Hames, R. S., Wattam, S. L., Yamano, H., Bacchieri, R. & Fry, A. M. APC/Cmediated destruction of the centrosomal kinase Nek2A occurs in early mitosis
 and depends upon a cyclin A-type D-box. *EMBO J.* 20, 7117–7127 (2001).
- 59. Conduit, P. T., Wainman, A. & Raff, J. W. Centrosome function and assembly
 in animal cells. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 16, 611–624 (2015).
- 8 60. Nigg, E. A. & Holland, A. J. Once and only once: mechanisms of centriole
 9 duplication and their deregulation in disease. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 297–
 10 312 (2018).

11 61. Lindon, C. & Pines, J. Ordered proteolysis in anaphase inactivates Plk1 to 12 contribute to proper mitotic exit in human cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **164**, 233–241 13 (2004).

Strnad, P. *et al.* Regulated HsSAS-6 levels ensure formation of a single
 procentriole per centriole during the centrosome duplication cycle. *Dev. Cell* 13,
 203–13 (2007).

Wang, W., Wu, T. & Kirschner, M. W. The master cell cycle regulator APC Cdc20 regulates ciliary length and disassembly of the primary cilium. *Elife* 3, e03083 (2014).

- Kumar, A., Girimaji, S. C., Duvvari, M. R. & Blanton, S. H. Mutations in STIL,
 encoding a pericentriolar and centrosomal protein, cause primary
 microcephaly. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* (2008). doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2009.01.017
- Arquint, C. & Nigg, E. A. STIL Microcephaly Mutations Interfere with APC/CMediated Degradation and Cause Centriole Amplification. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 351–
 360 (2014).
- 66. Marthiens, V. *et al.* Centrosome amplification causes microcephaly. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **15**, 731–740 (2013).
- Raff, J. W., Jeffers, K. & Huang, J. The roles of Fzy/Cdc20 and Fzr/Cdh1 in
 regulating the destruction of cyclin B in space and time. *J. Cell Biol.* 157,
 1139–1149 (2002).

1 2 3	68.	Kallio, M. J., Beardmore, V. A., Weinstein, J. & Gorbsky, G. J. Rapid microtubule-independent dynamics of Cdc20 at kinetochores and centrosomes in mammalian cells. <i>J. Cell Biol.</i> 158 , 841–847 (2002).
4 5	69.	Meghini, F. <i>et al.</i> Targeting of Fzr/Cdh1 for timely activation of the APC/C at the centrosome during mitotic exit. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 7 , (2016).
6 7 8	70.	 Ricolo, D., Deligiannaki, M., Casanova, J. & Araújo, S. J. Centrosome Amplification Increases Single-Cell Branching in Post-mitotic Cells. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 26, 2805–2813 (2016).
9 10	71.	Al Jord, A. <i>et al.</i> Centriole amplification by mother and daughter centrioles differs in multiciliated cells. <i>Nature</i> 516 , 104–7 (2014).
11 12	72.	Klos Dehring, D. A. <i>et al.</i> Deuterosome-Mediated Centriole Biogenesis. <i>Dev. Cell</i> 27 , 103–112 (2013).
13 14	73.	Al Jord, A. <i>et al.</i> Calibrated mitotic oscillator drives motile ciliogenesis. <i>Science</i> (80). 358 , 803–806 (2017).
15 16	74.	Werner, A., Manford, A. G. & Rape, M. Ubiquitin-Dependent Regulation of Stem Cell Biology. <i>Trends Cell Biol.</i> 27 , 568–579 (2017).
17 18	75.	Yau, R. & Rape, M. The increasing complexity of the ubiquitin code. <i>Nat. Cell Biol.</i> 18, 579–586 (2016).
19 20 21 22	76.	Shcherbata, H. R., Althauser, C., Findley, S. D. & Ruohola-Baker, H. The mitotic-to-endocycle switch in Drosophila follicle cells is executed by Notch-dependent regulation of G1/S, G2/M and M/G1 cell-cycle transitions. <i>Development</i> 131 , 3169–3181 (2004).
23 24 25 26	77.	Schaeffer, V., Althauser, C., Shcherbata, H. R., Deng, WM. & Ruohola-Baker, H. Notch-Dependent Fizzy-Related/Hec1/Cdh1 Expression Is Required for the Mitotic-to-Endocycle Transition in Drosophila Follicle Cells. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 14 , 630– 636 (2004).
27 28	78.	Litchfield, K. <i>et al.</i> Whole-exome sequencing reveals the mutational spectrum of testicular germ cell tumours. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 6 , 5973 (2015).
29 30	79.	Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. <i>Nature</i> 487 , 330–337 (2012).

- 80. Garcí-Higuera, I. *et al.* Genomic stability and tumour suppression by the
 APC/C cofactor Cdh1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**, 802–811 (2008).
- 81. Medley, J. C., DeMeyer, L. E., Kabara, M. M. & Song, M. H. APC/CFZR-1
 Controls SAS-5 Levels To Regulate Centrosome Duplication in Caenorhabditis
 elegans. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 7, 3937–3946 (2017).
- Karki, M., Keyhaninejad, N. & Shuster, C. B. Precocious centriole
 disengagement and centrosome fragmentation induced by mitotic delay. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15803 (2017).
- 83. Zou, H., McGarry, T. J., Bernal, T. & Kirschner, M. W. Identification of a
 vertebrate sister-chromatid separation inhibitor involved in transformation and
 tumorigenesis. *Science* 285, 418–22 (1999).
- Funabiki, H. *et al.* Cut2 proteolysis required for sister-chromatid separation in
 fission yeast. *Nature* **381**, 438–441 (1996).
- Shirayama, M., Zachariae, W., Ciosk, R. & Nasmyth, K. The Polo-like kinase
 Cdc5p and the WD-repeat protein Cdc20p/fizzy are regulators and substrates
 of the anaphase promoting complex in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *EMBO J.* **17**, 1336–49 (1998).
- 18 86. Castro, A. *et al.* APC/Fizzy-Related targets Aurora-A kinase for proteolysis.
 19 *EMBO Rep.* **3**, 457–462 (2002).
- 87. Taguchi, S. ichi *et al.* Degradation of human Aurora-A protein kinase is
 mediated by hCdh1. *FEBS Lett.* **519**, 59–65 (2002).
- 88. Karki, M., Keyhaninejad, N. & Shuster, C. B. Precocious centriole
 disengagement and centrosome fragmentation induced by mitotic delay. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15803 (2017).
- 25 89. Seki, A. & Fang, G. CKAP2 is a spindle-associated protein degraded by
 26 APC/C-Cdh1 during mitotic exit. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 15103–13 (2007).
- 90. Hong, K. U. *et al.* Functional Importance of the Anaphase-Promoting ComplexCdh1-Mediated Degradation of TMAP/CKAP2 in Regulation of Spindle
 Function and Cytokinesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27, 3667–3681 (2007).
- 30 91. Drosopoulos, K., Tang, C., Chao, W. C. H. & Linardopoulos, S. APC/C is an

- 1 essential regulator of centrosome clustering. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3686 (2014).
- 92. Park, H. J., Costa, R. H., Lau, L. F., Tyner, A. L. & Raychaudhuri, P.
 Anaphase-Promoting Complex/Cyclosome-Cdh1-Mediated Proteolysis of the
 Forkhead Box M1 Transcription Factor Is Critical for Regulated Entry into S
 Phase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 5162–5171 (2008).
- 6 93. Laoukili, J., Alvarez-Fernandez, M., Stahl, M. & Medema, R. H. FoxM1 is
 7 degraded at mitotic exit in a Cdh1-dependent manner. *Cell Cycle* 7, 2720–
 8 2726 (2008).
- 9 94. Gabellini, D. *et al.* Early mitotic degradation of the homeoprotein HOXC10 is
 potentially linked to cell cycle progression. *EMBO J.* 22, 3715–3724 (2003).
- 95. Christensen, K. L., Brennan, J. D. G., Aldridge, C. S. & Ford, H. L. Cell cycle
 regulation of the human Six1 homeoprotein is mediated by APCCdh1.
 Oncogene 26, 3406–3414 (2007).
- 96. Ors, A. *et al.* The transcription factor Atf1 binds and activates the APC/C
 ubiquitin ligase in fission yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 23989–94 (2009).
- 97. Drechsler, M., Meyer, H., Wilmes, A. C. & Paululat, A. APC/CFzr regulates
 cardiac and myoblast cell numbers, and plays a crucial role during myoblast
 fusion. *J. Cell Sci.* 131, jcs209155 (2018).
- 98. Cao, J. *et al.* The E3 ligase APC/C ^{Cdh1} promotes ubiquitylation-mediated
 proteolysis of PAX3 to suppress melanocyte proliferation and melanoma
 growth. *Sci. Signal.* 8, ra87-ra87 (2015).
- Paul, D. *et al.* Cdc20 directs proteasome-mediated degradation of the tumor
 suppressor SMAR1 in higher grades of cancer through the anaphase
 promoting complex. *Cell Death Dis.* 8, e2882 (2017).
- Wan, L. *et al.* The APC/C E3 Ligase Complex Activator FZR1 Restricts BRAF
 Oncogenic Function. *Cancer Discov.* 7, 424–441 (2017).
- Penas, C. *et al.* Casein Kinase 1δ Is an APC/CCdh1 Substrate that Regulates
 Cerebellar Granule Cell Neurogenesis. *Cell Rep.* **11**, 249–260 (2015).
- Liu, X. *et al.* The E3 ubiquitin ligase APC/C ^{C dh1} degrades MCPH1 after
 MCPH1-βTrCP2-Cdc25A-mediated mitotic entry to ensure neurogenesis.

EMBO J. 36, 3666–3681 (2017).

- 103. Hainline, S. G., Rickmyre, J. L., Neitzel, L. R., Lee, L. A. & Lee, E. The
 Drosophila MCPH1-B isoform is a substrate of the APCCdh1 E3 ubiquitin
 ligase complex. *Biol. Open* 3, 669–76 (2014).
- van Roessel, P., Elliott, D. A., Robinson, I. M., Prokop, A. & Brand, A. H.
 Independent Regulation of Synaptic Size and Activity by the Anaphase Promoting Complex. *Cell* **119**, 707–718 (2004).
- 105. Juo, P. & Kaplan, J. M. The Anaphase-Promoting Complex Regulates the
 Abundance of GLR-1 Glutamate Receptors in the Ventral Nerve Cord of C.
 elegans. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 2057–2062 (2004).
- 106. Fu, A. K. Y. *et al.* APCCdh1 mediates EphA4-dependent downregulation of
 AMPA receptors in homeostatic plasticity. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 181–189 (2011).
- 107. Silies, M. & Klämbt, C. APC/C(Fzr/Cdh1)-dependent regulation of cell
 adhesion controls glial migration in the Drosophila PNS. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13,
 1357–64 (2010).
- 16 108. Neuert, H., Yuva-Aydemir, Y., Silies, M. & Klämbt, C. Different modes of
 APC/C activation control growth and neuron-glia interaction in the developing
 Drosophila eye. Development 144, 4673–4683 (2017).
- 19 109. Horn, S. R. *et al.* Regulation of mitochondrial morphology by APC/CCdh1 20 mediated control of Drp1 stability. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22, 1207–16 (2011).
- 110. Herrero-Mendez, A. *et al.* The bioenergetic and antioxidant status of neurons
 is controlled by continuous degradation of a key glycolytic enzyme by APC/C–
 Cdh1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **11**, 747–752 (2009).
- Almeida, A., Bolanos, J. P. & Moncada, S. E3 ubiquitin ligase APC/C-Cdh1
 accounts for the Warburg effect by linking glycolysis to cell proliferation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 738–741 (2010).
- 112. Colombo, S. L. *et al.* Anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome-Cdh1
 coordinates glycolysis and glutaminolysis with transition to S phase in human
 T lymphocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 18868–18873 (2010).
- 113. Ke, P.-Y., Kuo, Y.-Y., Hu, C.-M. & Chang, Z.-F. Control of dTTP pool size by

- anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome is essential for the maintenance of
 genetic stability. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 1920–1933 (2005).
- 114. Zhang, J. *et al.* Cyclin D–CDK4 kinase destabilizes PD-L1 via cullin 3–SPOP
 to control cancer immune surveillance. *Nature* 553, 91–95 (2018).
- 5
- 6

2 Glossary

Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs): The family of enzymes composed of a kinase and a regulatory subunit, cyclin, including CDK1-Cyclin A/B, CDK2-Cyclin A/E, and CDK4/6-Cyclin D complexes. Typically, the cellular levels of cyclins oscillate during the cell cycle as the enzymatic activities of their associated kinases.

Cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases (CRLs): ubiquitin ligases are enzymes that catalyse the transfer
 of ubiquitin molecules onto their substrate proteins. CRLs are a family of multi-subunit
 ubiquitin ligases containing a Cullin-like protein and a RING finger protein as their catalytic
 centres, which include APC/C, SCF (Skp1-Cullin1-Fbox), VBC (pVHL-Elongin B/C-Cullin2),
 Cullin3-BTB and Cullin4 complexes.

Ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (UPP): The highly regulated molecular cascade that 12 mediates targeted protein degradation in an ATP-dependent manner. In the UPP, three 13 14 types of enzymes: ubiquitin activating enzymes (E1s), ubiquitin conjugating enzymes (E2s) and ubiquitin ligases (E3s), cooperate to covalently link polyubiquitin chains to lysine 15 residues of target proteins. The polyubiquitinated proteins are then recognised by 26S 16 proteasome, a large complex consisting of proteases and ATPase and non-ATPase subunits, 17 and are degraded into small peptides. The highest level of regulation and specificity is 18 conferred by E3s, which directly bind both substrates and E2s in specific spatiotemporal 19 windows and catalyse ubiquitin transfer, and deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs), a large 20 21 group of proteases that cleave and modify ubiquitin chains.

Restriction point (R-point): the hypothetical time point in G1 phase where mammalian cells are considered to commit to the next round of the cell cycle. The cell that has passed Rpoint will initiate DNA replication without a delay whether critical amino acids or serums are withdrawn or not. Currently, the stable activation of the transcriptional activity of E2F is considered the defining event of R-point.

27 Retinoblastoma protein (pRb): the transcriptional repressor important for the regulation of 28 the G1 to S phase transition as well as the exit from G0 phase. pRb directly binds E2F 29 transcription factor to inhibit its transactivation activity. Upon phosphorylation by CDK4-

Cyclin D, pRb releases E2F, which in turn induces transcription of various cell cycle regulator
 genes including Cyclin E to initiate DNA replication.

Microcephaly: a medical condition characterised by a reduced brain size, which may be
 present at birth due to abnormal brain development or can develop after birth due
 to defective brain growth.

6 Planer cell polarity: The coordinated orientation of cells or cellular structures within the 7 plane of an epithelial tissue. Prime examples are the epithelia of the *Drosophila* eyes and 8 wings where photoreceptor cell clusters and wing hairs are oriented in certain directions 9 across the tissues, which have been used as major model systems to study the mechanism 10 regulating PCP.

11

2 Figure Legends

3 Figure 1 The function of APC/C in cell cycle regulation

4 (A) The most typical form of the cell cycle consists of four phases: G1, S, G2 and M phases. The cell replicates its genomic DNA in S phase and segregates each copy of their duplicated 5 DNA equally into two daughter cells in M phase. G1 and G2 are preparatory phases before S 6 and M phases and also the main periods during which cells grow. The cell can also exit from 7 8 the cell cycle to enter 'GO' phase, where the cell has ceased mitotic division and stays in 9 quiescence with unreplicated genome DNA. G0 phase can be reversible or irreversible. In the latter, the cell is often terminally differentiated (ex., neurons and muscle cells) or 10 senescent (B) In dividing cells, CDC20 binds and activates APC/C in M phase to trigger 11 chromatid separation and mitotic exit through degradation of Securin and mitotic cyclins, 12 respectively. CDH1 then takes over APC/C during mitotic exit to keep cells in G1 phase or 13 allow them to enter G0 through continuous degradation of cyclins and Skp2 (which 14 degrades CDK inhibitors as a component of SCF ubiquitin ligase. APC/C^{CDC20} and APC/C^{CDH1} 15 16 are thought to be mutually exclusive and the switch between the two forms is regulated by multiple posttranslational mechanisms, including dephosphorylation of APC/C core subunits 17 and CDH1, and APC/C-dependent degradation of CDC20. However, precise kinetics of this 18 transition and the possible interaction between the two forms of APC/C are unknown. 19

20 Figure 2 APC/C^{CDH1} modulates extracellular signalling pathways in G1/G0 cells.

The Wnt and TGF- β pathways are conserved extracellular signalling pathways that regulate 21 22 diverse developmental and physiological processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, tissue homeostasis, cell polarity, axis formation and neural activity in 23 multicellular organisms. (A) TGF- β signalling is induced by the binding of TGF- β superfamily 24 ligands to a Type II receptor, which recruits and phosphorylates a Type I receptor. The Type I 25 receptor then phosphorylates regulatory Smad proteins (R-Smads) to promote the 26 formation of the R-Smads-co-Smad complex, which will be translocated into the nucleus to 27 regulate target gene expression. (B) Wnt signalling initiates with the binding of Wnt family 28 ligands to a Frizzled (Fz)-family transmembrane receptor, which passes the signal to the 29

Dishevelled protein in the cytoplasm. The canonical Wnt pathway leads to the stabilisation of β -catenin, which is translocated into the nucleus to induce transcriptional changes alongside the TCF/LEF family TFs. Wnt signalling can branch off into the noncanonical Wnt/PCP pathway that regulates cell polarity of epithelial tissues and some mesenchymal cells through cytoskeletal reorganisation. In G1 or G0 phase, APC/C^{CDH1} regulates the cellular response to TGF- β and Wnt signals by degrading SnoN and Nek2 proteins, the negative and positive modulators of TGF- β and Wnt signalling, respectively.

8 Figure 3 APC/C regulates centriole-based organelles in mitotic and postmitotic cells.

(A) A pair of centrioles recruit a protein matrix called pericentriolar material (PCM) to form 9 the centrosome, which acts as a major microtubule organising centre. In G1 phase, a cell 10 11 normally contains two centrioles connected by a centrosomal linker. The centrioles duplicate in S phase, each forming a procentriole, and become mature centrosomes in G2 12 phase. As cells enter M phase, the centrosomes start to separate, recruit more PCM and 13 nucleate microtubules to form mitotic spindle. Upon mitotic exit, the mother and daughter 14 centrioles disengage. In many cells that have entered G0 phase, the mother centriole acts as 15 a basal body to form a cilium, which disassembles upon cell cycle re-entry. APC/C ensures 16 this coordination between the centriole behaviour and the cell cycle by targeting numerous 17 regulatory proteins. The inset shows an electron microscopic cross-section image of a 18 centriole in Drosophila testis. (B) APC/C regulates the formation and functions of 19 centrosomes and cilia in postmitotic cells for cell differentiation. During the Drosophila 20 tracheal development, Rca1 inhibits APC/C activity to limit centrosome number and prevent 21 excess unicellular branching of the terminal cell (TC cell). In the differentiating mouse 22 ependymal progenitor cell, APC/C regulates the unique mode of centriole amplification 23 whilst keeping the cell in G0 phase, to enable the formation of multicilia. 24

25 Figure 4 A model for APC/C function in cell differentiation in multicellular organisms.

The cell cycle regulator APC/C can regulate various context-dependent mechanisms through ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis. By regulating these processes alongside the cell cycle, APC/C coordinates cell type-specific differentiation processes with elongation of G1 phase or G0 arrest to promote cellular differentiation. How the cell-cycle and cell cycle-

- 1 independent functions of APC/C are regulated during development remains poorly
- 2 understood.
- 3

4 Table 1. Known APC/C substrates with cell cycle

Protein name	Function category	Function of degradation	Coactivator	Species**	References
Sas6	centriole biogenesis	centriole number restriction?	CDH1	М	62
STIL/SAS-5	centriole biogenesis	centrosome number restriction?	CDH1	С, М	65,81
Spd2	PCM recruitment	N.D.	CDH1	D	69
Securin	cell cycle regulation, centriole biogenesis	chromatid separation, centriole disengagement	CDC20	Y, D, X, M	82–84
Plk1/Polo	centrosome regulation, mitotic progression	mitotic exit, centrosomal function undetermined	CDH1	Y, D, X, M	61,85
Aurora A	centrosome regulation, mitotic progression	N.D.	CDH1	D, X, M	86,87
Aurora B	chromatin regulation, cell cycle regulation	N.D.	CDH1	Y, D, X, M	88
CKAP2	centrosome and microtubule regulation	spindle formation, cytokinesis?	CDH1	М	89,90
Kif11/Eg5	centrosome and microtubule regulation	centrosome clustering	CDH1	М	91
Nek1	ciliogenesis	cilium resorption	CDC20	Х, М	63
Nek2	centrosome and cilium regulation, signal transduction	Wnt signalling suppression, PCP regulation, centrosomal function undetermined	*CDC20, CDH1	D, X, M	44,58
Radmis	microtubule regulation	neural progenitor proliferation?	N.D.	М	30
FOXM1	transcription, cell cycle control	cell cycle regulation of mitotic regulator genes	CDH1	М	92,93
HOXC10	transcription, development	N.D.	CDC20?	М	94
SIX1	transcription, development	N.D.	CDH1	М	95
Ams2	transcription	cell cycle regulation of histone gene expression	CDH1	Y	96
Myf5	transcription, muscle development	muscle differentiation	CDH1	М	34
Duf	muscle development	myoblast fusion	CDH1?	D	97
PAX3	Transcription, Melanocyte regulation	melanocyte differentiation	CDH1	М	98
NeuroD2	transcription, nervous system	presynaptic differentiation	CDC20	М	35
Id1	transcription, nervous system	dendrite growth	CDC20	М	36
Id2	transcription, nervous system	axonal growth	CDH1	М	37
SMAR1	tumour suppression	cell migration, invasion	CDC20	М	99
BRAF	signal transduction	tumour suppression	CDH1	М	100
СК1б	signal transduction	neurite outgrowth regulation	CDH1	М	101
SnoN	signal transduction	TGF-β signalling activation, axonal growth, lens differentiation	CDH1	D, M	33,38,39
MCPH1	DNA repair, cell cycle control	neural progenitor differentiation	CDH1	D, M	102,103
Liprina	nervous system	synapse bouton number restriction	N.D.	D	104
AMPA receptor	nervous system	glutamic receptor recycling, synaptic activity regulation	CDH1	С, М	105,106

Fas2	cell migration, nervous system	neuron-glia interaction	CDH1	D	107
Dlc90F	cell migration, eye development	neuron-glia interaction	CDH1	D	108
Drp1	mitochondria regulation	mitochondrial fusion	CDH1	М	109
PFKFB3	glycolysis	glycolysis inhibition	CDH1	М	110,111
GLS1	glutaminolysis	glutaminolysis inhibition	CDH1	М	112
TK1	dTTP production	dNTP pool maintenance	CDH1	М	113
SPOP	protein degradation	N.D.	CDH1	М	114

**Abbreviations: N.D.: Not determined; M: Mammals; D: Drosophila melanogaster C:

2 Caenorhabditis elegans; X: Xenopus; Y: Yeast











Highlights

- The cell cycle regulator APC/C regulates cell differentiation through cell cycleindependent functions in multicellular organisms.
- APC/C regulates a wide range of differentiation processes, from cell fate specification in unspecified progenitor cells to terminal differentiation of specific cell types, via ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis.
- APC/C influences cell differentiation by exerting at least three types of context-dependent mechanisms: (1) regulating the expression levels of cell type-specific transcriptional regulators, (2) modulating cellular responses to signalling pathways, (3) regulating the organisation and functions of centrosomes.
- APC/C is able to spatiotemporally coordinate these context-dependent differentiation processes with G1/G0 progression in the same cell.